

NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
EASTERN ZONE BENCH, FINANCE CENTRE
KOLKATA
Advance Cause list

Date: 06th July, 2026
Time: After Principal Bench

HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI
JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON’BLE MR. ISHWAR SINGH
EXPERT MEMBER

(CASES WILL BE TAKEN UP FOR PHYSICAL HEARING (WITH HYBRID OPTION)
FOR JOINING VC HEARING, PLEASE CLICK THE FOLLOWING LINK:
Meeting number (access code): Meeting password:2026

Note: Counter Affidavits/fact finding reports/responses/reports filed beyond specified period will be taken on record subject to payment of appropriate costs as may be ordered by this Tribunal as per order dated 04.11.2025 in O.A. No. 110/2025/EZ.

Sl. No	Case No.	Parties	Counsel for Parties
FOR ADMISSION			
1.	Original Application No.108/2026/EZ	M/s S.K. Enterprise Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Meghnath Dutta, Mr. Bishajib Ghosh and Mr. Steven Sourodip Biswas, Advocates For Respondent:
2.	Original Application No.46/2026/EZ	Kumar Sanjay Vs. State of Jharkhand	For Applicant: None for the applicant.
FOR FINAL HEARING			
3.	Original Application No.159/2023/EZ	Duryodhan Sahu Vs. Member Secretary, Odisha State Pollution Control Board & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Sankar Prasad Pani and Mr. Ashutosh Padhy Advocates For Respondent: Mr. Dipanjan Ghosh, Advocate for R-1 & 2 Mr. Partha Sarathi Nayak, AGA for R-3 & 4 (for State Respondents) Mr. Surendra Kumar, Advocate for R-5 Mr. Ashok Prasad, Advocate for R-6 & 7
FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION			
4.	M.A No. 36/2023/EZ In Original Application No. 82/2022/EZ	Kalinga Nagar Paribesh Surakhya Samati Vs State of Odisha & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Sankar Prasad Pani and Mr. Ashutosh Padhy, Advocates For Respondent: Mr. Surendra Kumar, Advocate for respondents no. 1 and 2 Mr. Ashok Prasad, Advocate for respondent no.3 Ms. Amrita Pandey, Advocate for respondent no.4

FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION			
5.	Original Application No. 34/2022/EZ	Abhijit Sinha Vs. Urban Development & Housing Department, Government of Bihar & Ors.	For Applicant: Ms. Paushali Banerjee, Advocate for the applicant For Respondent: Ms. Aishwarya Rajyashree, Advocate for respondents no.1 & 2 Mr. Dev Aaryan, Advocate for respondent no.3 Mr. Tatsat Shukla, Advocate for respondents no.4, 5, 8, 9, 11 & 14
FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION (FOR FILING OF RESPONSE BY R. and 3 to 6 WITHIN FOUR WEEKS)			
6.	Original Application No. 28/2026/EZ	Pramod Kumar Hembram Versus State of Odisha & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Sankar Prasad Pani and Mr. Ashutosh Padhy, Advocates For Respondent: Ms. Vasundhara Nongmeikapam and Mr. Savyasachi Rawat, Advocates for respondents no.1 and 4 - State Ms. Papiya Banerjee Bihani, Advocate for respondent no.2 Mr. Pravat Kumar Muduli and Mr. Prabodha Chandra Nayak, Advocates for respondent no.6
FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION (FOR FILING OF RESPONSE BY R. 2,4,7 to 11,13,14 WITHIN FOUR WEEKS)			
7.	Original Application No. 25/2026/EZ	Ankur Sharma Versus The State of West Bengal & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Ankur Sharma, the applicant in person For Respondent: Mr. Sibojyoti Chakrabarti, Advocate for respondents no.1,3,5,6,7,10 & 11 Mr. Dipanjan Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.4 Mr. Somraj Gangopadhyay, Advocate for respondent no.9 Mr. Krishnendu Bera, Advocate for respondent no.12 Mr. Somnath Roychowdhury and Mr. Shuvanil Chakraborty, Advocates for respondent no.14
FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION (FOR FILING OF RESPONSE BY R. 1 to 4 WITHIN FOUR WEEKS)			
8.	MA No. 44/2024/EZ In Original Application No. 120/2015/EZ	Subrato Mookherjee Vs West Bengal Pollution Control Board & Ors	For Applicant: Suo Motu. For Respondent: Mr. Dipanjan Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.1 Mr. Surendra Kumar, Advocate for respondent no.2 Mr. Sudip Kumar Dutta, Advocate for State of W.B.
FOR FURTHER PROCEEDING			
9.	M.A No.34 /2025/EZ In Original Application No. 154/2024/EZ	News Item titled " Birbhum - a proposed coal mine in West Bengal and the related health Hazards" appearing in The Hindu dated 12.05.2024	For Applicant: Suo Motu. For Respondent: Mr. Surendra Kumar, Advocate for respondent no.1 Mr. Dipanjan Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.2 Ms. Amrita Pandey, Advocate

			for respondent no.3 Mr. Sudip Kumar Dutta, Advocate for respondent no.4 Mr. Sibojyoti Chakrabarti, Advocate for respondent no. 5
10.	M.A. No. 30/2025/EZ In Original Application No. 129/2022/EZ	Subhas Datta Vs. Viswa Bharati University & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Subhas Dutta, the applicant in person For Respondent: Ms. Sucharita Biswas, Advocate for respondent no.1 Mr. Amitesh Banerjee, Senior Advocate with Mr. Sudip Kumar Dutta, Advocate for respondents no. 2 and 3 Mr. Siddhartha Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.4 Mr. Dipanjan Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.5
11.	M.A No. 02/2025/EZ In Original Application No. 126/2023/EZ	Mujibur Rahman Malik Vs Member Secretary, West Bengal Pollution Control Board & Ors	For Applicant: None for the applicant. For Respondent: Mr. Sibojyoti Chakrabarti, Advocate for respondent no.1 Mr. Dipanjan Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.2 Mr. Rajib Ray, Advocate for respondents no.3 & 4
FOR FURTHER HEARING			
12.	Original Application No. 182/2024/EZ	HAWA Vs State of Arunachal Pradesh	For Applicant: None for the applicant. For Respondent: Mr. Ashok Prasad, Advocate for respondents no.1, 3 and 4 Mr. Surendra Kumar, Advocate for respondents no.2 and 5, Mr. Ghanshyam Pandey, Advocate for respondents no.7 and 9 Mr. Sagarmay Ghosh, Advocate for respondents no.6 and 8
FOR FURTHER HEARING (FOR FILING OF RESPONSE BY R. 4 WITHIN ONE MONTH)			
13.	Original Application No. 145/2025/EZ	M/s Calstar Sponge Limited Versus Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change and Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Sanjay Upadhyay, Senior Advocate with Ms. Mansi Bachani, Mr. Shubham Upadhyay, Mr. Surya Gupta and Ms. Anukriti Bajpai, Advocates for the applicant For Respondent: Ms. Rashhmi Singhee, Advocate for respondent no.1 Mr. Dipanjan Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.2 Mr. Debashis Saha and Mr. Avirup Roy Sanyal, Advocates for respondent no.3
14.	Original Application No. 144/2025/EZ	Shri Khuraijam Athouba Singh Vs. Union of India Represented Secretary Ministry of Environment and Forest and Climate Change & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Dipankar Thakur, Advocate (physically in EZB) for the applicant.

			For Respondent: Mr. Pukhrambam Ramesh Kumar and Ms. Rajkumari Divyasana, Advocates for R-2 to 4 (State of Manipur)
FOR FURTHER HEARING			
15.	M.A No. 30/2024/EZ IA No. 81/2026/EZ In Original Application No. 114/2022/EZ	Sirin Tammana & Ors Vs State of Odisha & Ors	For Applicant: None for the applicants. For Respondent: None for the respondents.
16.	Original Application No.44/2026/EZ	Chandu Murmu & Another Vs. The State of West Bengal & Others	For Applicant: Mr. Meghnath Dutta, Mr. Bishajib Ghosh and Mr. Steven Sourodip Biswas, Advocates for the applicant For Respondent:
17.	Original Application No.48/2026/EZ	M/S A. K. Enterprise Vs. The State of West Bengal & Others	For Applicant: Mr. Meghnath Dutta, Mr. Bishajib Ghosh and Mr. Steven Sourodip Biswas, Advocates for the applicant For Respondent:
18.	Original Application No.50/2026/EZ	M/S Bhakat Bhai Stone Crusher Vs. The State of West Bengal & Others	For Applicant: Mr. Meghnath Dutta, Mr. Bishajib Ghosh and Mr. Steven Sourodip Biswas, Advocates for the applicant For Respondent:
19.	Original Application No.51/2026/EZ	Ms Gupta Stone Crusher Vs. The State of West Bengal & Others	For Applicant: Mr. Meghnath Dutta, Mr. Bishajib Ghosh and Mr. Steven Sourodip Biswas, Advocates for the applicant For Respondent:
20.	Original Application No.56/2026/EZ	Kali Prasad Mondal & Another Vs. The State of West Bengal & Others	For Applicant: Mr. Meghnath Dutta, Mr. Bishajib Ghosh and Mr. Steven Sourodip Biswas, Advocates for the applicant For Respondent:
21.	Original Application No.60/2026/EZ	M/S Stone India, a Proprietorship firm, represented by its proprietor, Suniti Kumar Pal Vs. The State of West Bengal & Others	For Applicant: Mr. Meghnath Dutta, Mr. Bishajib Ghosh and Mr. Steven Sourodip Biswas, Advocates for the applicant For Respondent:
22.	Original Application No.66/2026/EZ	M/s Gitanjali Enterprise Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Meghnath Dutta, Mr. Bishajib Ghosh and Mr. Steven Sourodip Biswas, Advocates for the applicant For Respondent:
23.	Original Application No.71/2026/EZ	Nischintapur Stone Crusher Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Meghnath Dutta, Mr. Bishajib Ghosh and Mr. Steven Sourodip Biswas, Advocates for the applicant
24.	Original Application No. 151/2025/EZ	Sri Dambarudhar Ramsingh Vs. The State of Odisha	For Applicant: Mr. Chandan Choudhury, Advocate and Mr. Panthu Rai, Advocate for the applicant. For Respondent:
FOR FINAL HEARING			
25.	Original Application No. 50/2025/EZ	Asim Kumar Banerjee Vs State of West Bengal & Ors	For Applicant: None for the applicant . For Respondent: Mr. Rajib Ray, Advocate for

			respondents no.1 and 2 Mr. Dipanjan Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.3 Ms. Malabika Roy Dey, Advocate for respondent no.4 Ms. Sucharita Biswas, Advocate for respondent no.5
26.	Original Application No.57/2023/EZ	Ajay Rajak Vs. Ministry of Coal & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Ajay Rajak, the applicant in person For Respondent: Mr. Ashok Prasad, Advocate for respondent no.1 Mr. Arik Banerjee and Mr. Saptarshi Mukherjee, Advocates for respondents no.3 and 7 Mr. Satya Prakash Pandey, Advocate, proxy counsel for Mr. Amit Sinha, Advocate for respondent no.8 Mr. Sibojyoti Chakrabarti, Advocate for respondent no.9 Mr. Surendra Kumar, Advocate for respondent no.10 Ms. Anamika Pandey, Advocate for respondent no.11
27.	Original Application No.149/2023/EZ	Sri Biswajit Mukherjee & Anr. Vs. Union of India & Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Bikash Shaw, Advocate for the applicants For Respondent: Mr. Ashok Prasad, Advocate for respondent no.1 Mr. Sibojyoti Chakrabarti, Advocate for respondents no.2, 3, 5, 6, 7 and 9 Mr. Dipanjan Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.4 Ms. Amrita Pandey, Advocate for respondent no.8 Mr. Nilanjan Pal, Advocate for respondent no.10 Mr. Rajib Ray, Advocate for respondent no.11

BENCH-II

Date:01st July, 2026

HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH
JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON’BLE DR. SUJIT KUMAR BAJPAYEE, EXPERT MEMBER
EXPERT MEMBER

Sl. No	Case No.	Parties	Counsel for Parties
IN CHAMBER CIRCULATION			
1.	Review Application No. 01/2026/EZ In Appeal No. 03/2026/EZ	SANJIB DHAL Vs. State of Odisha and Ors.	For Applicant: Mr. Sankar Prasad Pani, Ashutosh Padhy, Advocates For Respondent: Mr. Apurba Ghosh, Advocate for respondent no.4- SEIAA, Odisha

For orders, cause list and other information please visit our website:

www.greentribunal.gov.in

For detailed Standard Operating Protocol for Virtual Video Conferencing in NGT please visit our link: https://greentribunal.gov.in/sites/default/files/all_documents/SOPngt.pdf

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SMOOTH VC HEARINGS

While joining, the VC room, enter details only in the following format :

Item No. (XX) – (NAME) – (PARTY)

e.g.

Item 2 – abc – Petitioner

Item 4 – bcd – Adv. for Resp. 2 & 4

Item 7 – abc – Adv for SPCB

Item 1 – bcd – DM for State of xyz

After joining, ensure that –

VIDEO is always 'OFF'

AND

MIC is always 'MUTE'

1. Video should be switched ON only by the parties to a case immediately when the concerned Item No. is called for hearing.
2. Mic should be UNMUTED only by the party speaking.
3. Generally attendance will be marked only when the video is visible.
4. Switching on the Video or UNMUTING the Mic otherwise will disturb the hearing of ongoing matters.
5. Parties to join the VC room at least ten minutes before the Hon'ble Bench Commences the session of the court. It shall be the responsibility of the parties to ensure that their devices have requisite compatibility and up-gradation to participate in VC hearings, and to have stable internet connection of appropriate signal strength/bandwidth/speed for uninterrupted hearings.

Note 1

A prayer for adjournment will be considered as per [Office Order No. 33/01/2015/NGT/EZB/KOL/Judicial Matter/187](#) dated 19.03.2024

Note 2

As indicated in the [Office Order No. NGT/PB/RG/2018/135](#) dated 20.02.2020, reports received in the matters are uploaded on the Website of NGT for access by all concerned. Non-availability of such report with party shall not be accepted as denial of opportunity or a ground for adjournment.